	`					ict Co	
DEFENDANT	DONALD LYNN PERGUSON		L_NORT	HERN_DI	STRICT O	<u>P_OKLAHO</u>	MA
)		l DOCKE	T NO. ➤ 🛴	78-CR-	71	
	JUDGMENT AND F	PROBATIO	N/COMM	NITMEN	IT ORD	ER AO	245 (5/75
	In the presence of the attorney for the				MONTH	DAY	YEAI
	the defendant appeared in person on t	his date			2	21	79
COUNSEL	WITHOUT COUNSEL Howe have co	ver the court advise counsel appointed by	d defendant of rig	tht to counsel fendant thereup	and asked when	ther defendant ance of counsel	desired :
	WITH COUNSEL L	Jo St	anley Glen (Name	n, Court e of counsel)	Appoint	ed	
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court being sa there is a factual basis for the ple	tisfied that Lea,	NOLO CON	ITENDERE,		T GUILTY 8	- L
	, (L.	NOT GUILT	Y. Defendant is d	lischarged			
	There being a finding	NOT GUILTY.			Jack U. S. 1	C. Silver, C CISTRICT O	lorh Carl
FINDING &	Defendant has been convicted as charge Section 924(a), as charge	ged of the offense(s	of having	violated	Title I	la. U.S.	C.,
UDGMENT					AIIGAC	· LANCELL L. ·	
				•		**	
)						
	The court asked whether defendant had an	nything to say why ju	dgment should not t	ne pronounced.	Because no suffic	clent cause to the	he contra
SENTENCE	The imposition of suspended, and the def	court adjudged the d CHECKSON HONOR CENTENCE IN CENTENCE IS 1	efendant guilty as of the counts Two placed on	harged and con administration o and The probation	victed and order was because ree is h n for a	ed that: grew detect ereby period	Minian of
OR	The imposition of suspended, and the def Three (3) Years from to posed in Count Three tin Count Two.	court adjudged the dispersion to the content of the	Counts Two placed on a cach urrently w	harged and con contractions o and The probation count; ith the	victed and order Aree is h an for a said pro probatio	ed that: Therefore the control of th	of im- ed
ROBATION	The imposition of suspended, and the def Three (3) Years from t posed in Count Three t	court adjudged the dispersion to the content of the	Counts Two placed on a cach urrently w	harged and con contractions o and The probation count; ith the	victed and order Aree is h an for a said pro probatio	ed that: Therefore the control of th	of im- ed
OR ROBATION ORDER SPECIAL ONDITIONS OF	The imposition of suspended, and the def Three (3) Years from t posed in Count Three t in Count Two. Upon motion of the	court adjudged the dispersion to the content of the	Counts Two placed on as to each arrently w	harged and con probation count; ith the recy, Counts obolics directed	victed and order properties in for a said properties probation on the day and	ereby period bation in impose is here	of im- ed by
OR ROBATION ORDER SPECIAL ONDITIONS	The imposition of suspended, and the def Three (3) Years from to posed in Count Three to in Count Two. Upon motion of the dismissed. In addition to the is to join and activel similar type of psychologypartment, stay employed.	court adjudged the dispersion imposed above the Court may change thin a maximum project in a	Counts Two placed on as to each arrently w	ed that the general and construction reduces	ree is hen for a said proposition on the day the my form	ereby period bation in impose is here efendant of fires	of im- ed out on the ion and a
OR ROBATION ORDER SPECIAL ONDITIONS OF ROBATION DDITIONAL ONDITIONS OF	The imposition of suspended, and the def Three (3) Years from to posed in Count Three to in Count Two. Upon motion of the dismissed. In addition to the is to join and activel similar type of psycholography of psycholography. Stay employed the dismissed of this judgment be imposed. The any time during the probation period or with th	court adjudged the dispersion to the court may change thin a maximum prole probation period.	Counts Two placed on as to each arrently was a solution of a solution period of five position period of five solution period of five solution period of five solution period of five solution period of solution period p	ed that the generobation, reduce e years permitted	ree is hen for a said proposition of the day form	ereby period obation impose is here! efendant of fires of fires of fires of that the Clerk	of im- ed out on the ion, and a and revok
OR ROBATION ORDER SPECIAL ONDITIONS OF ROBATION OF ROBATION MMITMENT ECOMMEN-	The imposition of suspended, and the def Three (3) Years from the posed in Count Three tin Count Two. Upon motion of the dismissed. In addition to the is to join and activel similar type of psycho Department, stay employed whatsoever. In addition to the special conditions of professes side of this judgment be imposed. The any time during the probation period or with probation for a violation occurring during the probation occur	court adjudged the dispersion to the court may change thin a maximum prole probation period.	Counts Two placed on as to each arrently was a solution of a solution period of five position period of five solution period of five solution period of five solution period of five solution period of solution period p	ed that the generobation, reduce e years permitted	it is ordered and commit	ereby period bation in impose is here efendant s or a Probatic of fire fried of probatic ssue a warrant a	of im- edi by tun out on the ion, and a and revok deliver dgment S. Mar-
OR ROBATION ORDER SPECIAL ONDITIONS OF ROBATION OF ROBATION MMITMENT ECOMMEN-	The imposition of suspended, and the def Three (3) Years from the posed in Count Three tin Count Two. Upon motion of the dismissed. In addition to the is to join and activel similar type of psycho Department, stay employed whatsoever. In addition to the special conditions of professes side of this judgment be imposed. The any time during the probation period or with probation for a violation occurring during the probation occur	court adjudged the dispersion to the court may change thin a maximum prole probation period.	Counts Two placed on as to each arrently was a solution of a solution period of five position period of five solution period of five solution period of five solution period of five solution period of solution period p	ed that the generobation, reduce e years permitted	ree is he for a said proposition of the day form	detail shows the state of that the Clerk copy of this judiced to the U.	of im- ed out on the ion, and a and revoked deliver degment S. Mar- eer.
OR ROBATION ORDER SPECIAL ONDITIONS OF ROBATION OF ROBATION MMITMENT ECOMMENDATION DATION	The imposition of suspended, and the def Three (3) Years from the posed in Count Three tin Count Two. Upon motion of the dismissed. In addition to the is to join and activel similar type of psychologramment, stay employenation for a violation occurring during the probation period or wiprobation for a violation occurring during the Court orders commitment to the count orders commitment or the count orders count or the count orders commitment or the count orders commitment or the count orders commitment or the count or the	court adjudged the dispersion to the court may change thin a maximum prole probation period.	Counts Two placed on as to each arrently was a solution of a solution period of five position period of five solution period of five solution period of five solution period of five solution period of solution period p	ed that the generobation, reduce e years permitted	ree is he for a said proposition of the day form	detail start details detail details detail details det	of im- ed out on the ion, and a and revoke deliver degment S. Mar- eer.
OR ROBATION ORDER SPECIAL ONDITIONS OF ROBATION OF ROBATION MMITMENT ECOMMEN-	The imposition of suspended, and the def Three (3) Years from the posed in Count Three tin Count Two. Upon motion of the dismissed. In addition to the special conditions of professes side of this judgment be imposed. The any time during the probation period or with probation for a violation occurring during the the count orders commitment to the count orders commitment to the count of the count orders commitment to the count of the count orders commitment to the count of the count orders commitment to the count orders count orders countered to the count of the countered to th	court adjudged the dispersion to the court may change thin a maximum prole probation period.	Counts Two placed on as to each arrently was a second to be a charmon of the in Alcogram, as a second to provide the conditions of provide provided the conditions of provided the cond	o and The probation count; ith the rected ossess and the rected ossess are recommends,	ree is hen for a said proposition of the day form. It is ordered a certified and commit shal or other.	detail start details detail details detail details det	of im- odi by tun out on the ion, and a and revoked deliver dgment S. Mar- eer.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Northern

United States of America vs. DONALD LYNN FERGUSON	Criminal No	FILE IN COUR
		FED 2 1 1979
	FR FOR DISMISSAL) of the Federal Rules of	Jack C. Silver, Clerk [1] CHISTRICT COURT Criminal
Procedure and by leave of	court endorsed hereon the	United States
Attorney for the Northe	orn District of Okl	ahoma
hereby dismisses *** (in	Count I of the Indictm dictment, information, con	ment against applaint)
Donald Lynn Ferguson,	defendant.	

District of Oklahoma

Hubert H. Bryant United States Attorney

ASST. Inited States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

JA H. Dale Cooks
United States District Judge

Date: February 21 , 1979

FORM OBD-113

DOJ

8-27-74

_	en de la companya de La companya de la co	A second	. —
United States of	\	ates District Court	t for
DEFENDANT	NORTHER	N DESTRICT OF OKLAHOMA	
	ROBY DALE BAKER	79-CR-5-B	
	JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMI		/ 7 5)
	In the presence of the attorney for the government		AR
COUNSEL	the defendant appeared in person on this date —	2 15 79	
000.1022	However the court advised defendant of right have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant of right. WITH COUNSEL David O. Harris, Ret.	to counsel and asked whether defendant desired dant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.	a to
	(Name of	counsel)	
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,	ENDERE, NOT GUILTY FFR 1: 1979	
	There being a finding/vertific of NOT GUILTY. Defendant is disch	25 1400 1 448	s e la
	There being a finding/vertice of X GUILTY.	jack (j. 19 for Pla j. 8. 1989:1990 (f.)	
FINDING & JUDGMENT	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having v Sections III and III4, as charged in the In	riolated Title 18, U.S.C., dictment.	
		n en	
SENTENCE OR Probation Order	Thirty-six (36) months, and on the condition confined in a jail type institution for a path the execution of the remainder of sentence (hereby suspended and the defendant is placed thirty (30) months. IT IS FURTHER ADJUDGED that the imposition of the imposition o	eriod of Six (6) months of impersonment is d on probation for of sentence is hereby	
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	suspended as to thessix (6) months, and defer probation for a total period of thirty-six of the special conditions of the first six (6) are that the defendant reside in the Halfway Oklahoma, pursuant to Sec. 18:3651, Public Lajob training. Defendant is ordered to write the the postman with a copy to the Court.	months. months of probation y House, Oklahoma City, aw 9-492 and receive	
	IT IS FURTHER ADJUDGED that the execution of until Pebruary 20, 1979, at 10:00 A.M., at wis to present himself thathe U.S. Marshal.	f sentence is deferred which time the defendant	
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered to reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five ye probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.	ation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and	d at
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN-	The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and reco	ommends, It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Mar- shal or other qualified officer.	:
DATION		CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON	
SIGNED BY	ct Judge	THIS DATE	
U.S. Magis	7	() CLERK	,
- The second			

	of America vs.	United	ates	Distric	t Cou	1
DEFENDANT	MANASSIE LIVINGSTO Leroy Miller, d/b/	a Lerov Livingston	DIST!	78-CR-12		
,	JUDGMENT ANI	D PROBATION/COM				5 (5/75)
	In the presence of the attorney for the defendant appeared in person	or the government		MONTH 2	DAY	YEAR
COUNSEL		However the court advised defendant of have counsel appointed by the court and the tarles R. Froeb, Appt. (Na	right to counsel as defendant thereupo	nd asked whether	r defendant d	esired t
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court bei	ing satisfied that NOLO CO	ONTENDERE,	NOT G	GUILTY	
	There being a finding/vacdict of	NOT GUILTY. Defendant is	s discharged		3 1 (1979 . 2006, 64	
FINDING &	Defendant has been convicted as Sections 5861(d) a	charged of the offense(s) of having and 5871, as charged in	g wiolated	U.S. 01 1 Title 2	SHOUL OF	
JUDGMENT						
					7 · · ·	
	was shown, or appeared to the court	had anything to say why judgment should no t, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as	s charged and convi	cted and ordered	that: XDE TOTAL	contrar
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER	this date.	on for a period of Thir	ty- s 1x (36) months	, from	
i						
OF	The special condit with known crimina employed.	ions of probation are: ls or commit any crimi	defendant nalsacts a	: not assi	ociate Ne stay	
ONDITIONS OF	with known crimina	ions of probation are:	defendant nalsa c ts a	not assind that	ociate he stay	ž.ee
ONDITIONS OF PROBATION DDITIONAL ONDITIONS OF	In addition to the special conditions or reverse side of this judgment be imposed.	of probation imposed above, it is hereby ord sed. The Court may change the conditions of or within a maximum probation period of the content	nalsacts a	al conditions of pr	obation set out	and a
ONDITIONS OF PROBATION DDITIONAL ONDITIONS OF ROBATION	In addition to the special conditions or reverse side of this judgment be important the during the probation period probation for a violation occurring during the probation occurring during the probation for a violation occurring during the probation occurr	of probation imposed above, it is hereby ord sed. The Court may change the conditions of or within a maximum probation period of the content	nalsacts a lered that the general probation, reduce of live years permitted	al conditions of pr	obation set out d of probation e a warrant and at the Clerk de y of this judgn nt to the U.S.	, and and and revoke liver ment Mar-
ONDITIONS OF PROBATION DDITIONAL ONDITIONS OF ROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of reverse side of this judgment be imposed any time during the probation period probation for a violation occurring during the court orders commitment to the court orders commitment to the court orders.	of probation imposed above, it is hereby ord sed. The Court may change the conditions of or within a maximum probation period of fring the probation period. the custody of the Attorney General and	lered that the general probation, reduce of ive years permitted direcommends,	al conditions of provextend the period by law, may issue the acertified copand commitme	obation set out dof probation e a warrant and at the Clerk de y of this judgment to the U.S. lalified officer.	, and at I revoke liver nent Mar-
CONDITIONS OF PROBATION DDITIONAL ONDITIONS OF PROBATION DMMITMENT ECOMMEN	In addition to the special conditions of reverse side of this judgment be important time during the probation period probation for a violation occurring during the court orders commitment to the court orders commitment to the court orders.	of probation imposed above, it is hereby ord sed. The Court may change the conditions of or within a maximum probation period of fing the probation period.	dered that the general probation, reduce of live years permitted of recommends,	tt is ordered the certified copand commitme shal or other que	obation set out dof probation e a warrant and at the Clerk de y of this judgment to the U.S. lalified officer.	, and at I revoke liver nent Mar-

78-CR-110-B √

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE FEB 14 1979
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,
Plaintiff,

NO.

JAMES ALAN WALTRIP, et al.,

v.

Defendants.

ORDER

The Court has for consideration a timely filed motion pursuant to Rule 35, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, on behalf of the Defendant James Alan Waltrip.

Having studied the motion, carefully reviewed the file, and reflected on the sentence, the Court, being fully advised in the premises, finds that under the circumstances before the Court the sentence imposed December 14, 1978, was lenient and proper. The motion for modification of sentence should be denied. The motion to stay execution of sentence should be overruled as moot. The Rule 35 application and supporting documents should be sealed, not to be opened without Order of the Court.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the motion pursuant to Rule 35, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, for discretionary modification of the sentence of James Alan Waltrip be and it is hereby overruled.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motion to stay execution of the sentence of James Alan Waltrip be and it is hereby overruled as moot.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Rule 35 application and supporting documents of James Alan Waltrip be and they are hereby sealed not to be opened except upon Order of the Court.

Dated this 14th day of February, 1979, at Tulsa, Oklahoma.

United States of	America vs.	*	District Court for Prict of Oklahoma
DEFENDANT	TOMAS VEGA MARTINEZ	DOCKET NO. ➤ L	79-CR-30
MANGE F	JUDGMENT AND PROBAT		
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date	-	MONTH DAY YEAR Feb. 12 1979
COUNSEL		by the court and the defendant thereupon. **Lawk*** **Lawk*** **Lawk*** **Lawk*** **Lawk*** **Lawk*** **Lawk*** **Lawk*** **Lawk*** **Lawk** **La	and asked whether defendant desired to
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,	(Name of counsel)	NOT GUILTY
	There being a finding service of $\left\{\begin{array}{c} \square J \text{ NOT GU} \\ X \end{bmatrix} \text{ GUILTY} \right\}$	ILTY. Defendant is discharged .	FEB 1 2 1970
FINDING &	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offer		U. S. DISTRICT CLOSE
JUDGMENT	Entering the United States than as designated by immig	at a time and place (ration officers	other
SENTENCE OR Probation Order	was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General of Defendant placed on probati	er his authorized representative for impris	onment for a period of
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	Defendant to serve 11 days on the weekends, the execut February 16, 1979	in jail which senten ion of the sentence	ce is to be served stayed until
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may cany time during the probation period or within a maximum probation for a violation occurring during the probation per	hange the conditions of probation, reduce m probation period of five years permitt	e or extend the period of probation, and at
COMMITMENT	The court orders commitment to the custody of the	Attorney General and recommends,	It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Mar-
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION			shal or other qualified officer. CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON
SIGNED BY			THIS DATE 1-21-19 MULGERW
U.S. Distr	•	Date 2_16_79	By CLERK (V) DEPUTY

United States of	America vs. Unite. 1 ates	District Court fo
DEFENDANT	TOMAS VEGA-MARTINEZ	TRICT OF OKLAHOMA 79-CR-30-B
	LLDCMENT AND DROPATION/COMMITMEN	All control of the co
	JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMEN	
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date	- 2 DAY YEAR 2 1979
COUNSEL	However the court advised defendant of right to counsel have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereu	
	X WITH COUNSEL Clarence W. Hawk (Ret	
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,	NOT GUILTY
	There being a finding/wardist of NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged X GUILTY.	
	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of	
FINDING & \ JUDGMENT (On or about the 15th day of November, 1976, in of Texas, TOMAS VEGA-MARTINEZ, an alien, unlawf knowingly did enter the United States at or nea a time and place other than as designated by im in violation of Title 8, U.S.C., § 1325.	ully, wilfully, and r Laredo. Texas, at
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER	hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for impression of the served either straight 11 days or be made, Defendant may serve it on the weekends, the sentence stayed until February 17, 1979.	if arrangements can
ļ		a seed to
SPECIAL		n de la companya de La companya de la co
CONDITIONS OF PROBATION		en e
		er en er witte er
		er gerier (Marie 1
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the ge reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, redu any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permiprobation for a violation occurring during the probation period.	ce or extend the period of probation, and at
,	The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends	
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION		and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.
		CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON
SIGNED BY	► Marin Min /	THIS DATE
U.S. Distri	7. /	() CLERK
passerica .		1

	America vs.		A LEGISTATION AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	ISTRICT OF	OFTREM	**
DEFENDANT	CHARLES EUGENE	GRIFPIN	.l DOCKET NO.	79-CR-9-		
	JUDGMENT AN	ND PROBATIO	N/COMMITM			245 (5/75)
	In the presence of the attorney the defendant appeared in pers			2 MONTH	12	YEAR 79
COUNSEL	WITHOUT COUNSEL WITH COUNSEL L		ed defendant of right to cou the court and the defendant th (Name of counse	ereupon waived assist		
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court there is a factual basis fo		J NOLO CONTENDEI	RE,NO	FEB 1	. 1070
	There being a finding/with	of $\left\{ \begin{array}{c} & \searrow \\ & \swarrow \end{array} \right\}$ GUILTY.	Y. Defendant is discharged	!	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- 1979 '01. Cler '27 1991
FINDING &	Defendant has been convicted U.S.C., Sections Indictment.	as charged of the offense(s 18 & 5861 (f),	of having violas charged in C			
				*	i de la composición dela composición de la composición de la composición dela composición dela composición dela composición de la composición dela composición de la composición dela com	
	The court asked whether defendar	nt had anything to cay why is	dament should not be propour	acad Bacauca no suffi	cient cause to t	ha contrar
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER	Counts 1 & 4 - The defendant is place from this date, as with count one.	ced on probation		of Two (2)	years	
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	The special condi- amount of \$360.00 beginning March I known criminals of	9, to the Court 15, 1979, until	Clerk, in paym paid in full;	ents of \$20 not associa	1.00 a m	onth
			•			
ONDITIONS OF	In addition to the special condition reverse side of this judgment be in any time during the probation per probation for a violation occurring	nposed. The Court may change riod or within a maximum pro	the conditions of probation, i	educe or extend the p	eriod of probat	tion, and a
ONDITIONS OF PROBATION DMMITMENT RECOMMEN	reverse side of this judgment be in any time during the probation per	nposed. The Court may change riod or within a maximum pro during the probation period.	e the conditions of probation, in the bation period of five years pe	educe or extend the permitted by law, may ends, It is ordere a certified and commi	eriod of probat	deliver degree to the contract of the contract
CONDITIONS OF PROBATION OMMITMENT	reverse side of this judgment be in any time during the probation per probation for a violation occurring	nposed. The Court may change riod or within a maximum pro during the probation period.	e the conditions of probation, in the bation period of five years pe	educe or extend the permitted by law, may ands, It is ordered a certified and commishal or other	d that the Clerk copy of this juitment to the Uer qualified offi	deliver deliver describer
PROBATION OMMITMENT RECOMMEN-	reverse side of this judgment be in any time during the probation per probation for a violation occurring The court orders commitment	nposed. The Court may change riod or within a maximum pro during the probation period.	e the conditions of probation, in the bation period of five years pe	educe or extend the permitted by law, may ands, It is ordered a certified and commishal or other	d that the Clerk copy of this juitment to the U er qualified offi	deliver add and revoke deliver adgment a.S. Marceer.

United States of A	America vs.	•	Jnitea	tes Disti	rict Cou	rt for
DEFENDANT	. Gary wayne mcclai	In		N DISTRICT OF		<u>-</u> J
			. DOCKET NO	79-CR-9		
,	JUDGMENT AND	D PROBATIO	N/COMMIT	MENT OR	DER A0-24	s <u>(5/75)</u>
	In the presence of the attorney for the defendant appeared in person			MONTH 2	12	YEAR 79
COUNSEL	•	However the court advise have counsel appointed by ale Briggs, Ru	the court and the defend	ant thereupon waived ass		1
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court bei there is a factual basis for the		NOLO CONTE	<u>. </u>	OT GUILTY FEB 1 & 1	
	There being a finding/				De Green	COURT
FINDING & JUDGMENT	Defendant has been convicted as U.S.C., Sections 3 of the Indictment.	INT SEC DESTIE) of having v	iolated Title d in Counts o	s 18 and one and fi	26, Ve

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER	from this date, as Section 5010(a). P currently with Cou	robation impo: unt 1.				
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	The special condit with known crimina					als.
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions reverse side of this judgment be important the during the probation period probation for a violation occurring du	osed. The Court may change d or within a maximum pro	the conditions of proba	tion, reduce or extend th	e period of probation	on, and at
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN	The court orders commitment to	the custody of the Atto	orney General and reco	It is order a certific and com	ered that the Clerk ed copy of this jud mitment to the U.S ther qualified office	gment 5. Mar-
DATION				CE RTIFIEI	O AS A TRUE COP	Y 0N
SIGNED BY		£ 13.	nous	THIS DATI	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
XXXXXXXX	xx		2-12-7	_	() CL	

United States of	America vs.	Un	itea s ites		
DEFENDANT	ELAINE OAKLEY		DOCKET NO. ➤ 🗀	79-CR-8-1	3
	JUDGMENT AN	D PROBATION/	COMMITMEN	T ORDE	R AO-245 [5/7]
	In the presence of the attorney the defendant appeared in perso		>		12 45
COUNSEL	└──J WITHOUT COUNSEL ➤ WITH COUNSEL	However the court advised defe have counsel appointed by the cou James D. Hass, Ap	irt and the defendant thereup	on waived assistan	
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court b there is a factual basis for	eing satisfied that the plea,	NOLO CONTENDERE,	L Ndfi	38 11 1 72 1979
	There being a finding/v************************************	NOT GUILTY. De	fendant is discharged	108 C. S. I	G. Silver, Clerk DISTRICT COURT
FINDING &	Defendant has been convicted a	s charged of the offense(s) of charged in Count.	naving vielated 1 of the India	Title laterate	B, U.S.C.,
JUDGMENT (
	The court asked whether defendant was shown, or appeared to the court was a shown as a s				
SENTENCE OR PROBATION	is her	position of sentereby placed on proponths from this d	bation for a pe		
ORDER					
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	or associate wit restitution to t	itions of probation drug users, known clerk in the Court Clerk in the Payments of \$1 th, 1979.	wn criminals of the amount of	c ex-husb \$157. 38	and: Hake for paymen
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special condition reverse side of this judgment be im any time during the probation perioprobation for a violation occurring o	posed. The Court may change the co od or within a maximum probation	enditions of probation, reduc-	e or extend the pe	riod of probation, and
OMMITMENT RECOMMEN-	The court orders commitment t	o the custody of the Attorney (General and recommends,	It is ordered a certified c and commiti	that the Clerk deliver opy of this judgment nent to the U.S. Mar- qualified officer.
DATION					A TRUE COPY ON
GMED BY	et Judge	a Laren	<i></i>	THIS DATE	
			2-12-79		()CLERK

United States of America vs.				TOOT I	et Cov	
DEFENDANT		NORT	HERN DIST	RICT OF O	KLAHOMA	
DEBRA RICHAUN REDI	c	l DOCF	KET NO. ➤ 📖	79-CR-	7-B	
JUDGMENT AND	PROBATI	ON/COM	MITMEN	T ORDE	R AO-2-	45 <u>(5/75)</u>
In the presence of the attorney fo the defendant appeared in person				MONTH 2	12	YEAR 79
>	However the court adviave counsel appointed b	y the court and the	defendant thereupo			desired to
PLEA SUILTY, and the court being there is a factual basis for the	ng satisfied that ne plea,	·	me of counsel) ONTENDERE,	NOT	GUILTY FEB 1	. E] 3 197 9
	NOT GUIL GUILTY.			IJ	Jack C. Si I. S. DIST R	HCT COU
FINDING & Defendant has been convicted as of Section 1708, as of JUDGMENT	charged of the offense charged in Co	e(s) of havi: ount lof	ng violate the Indict	d Title	L8, U.S	.C.,
			,		n verification	÷
		en e				
The court asked whether defendant h was shown, or appeared to the court hasked whether defendant hasked whether hasked whether hasked whether hask	, the court adjudged the	e defendant guilty a	s charged and conv	victed and ordered	that: The de	iendane is
was shown, or appeared to the court hat xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx	, the court adjudged the	e defendant guilty a management is probation e, under	s charged and converse was pended for a per the Pedesa	and the iod of Ti	defendative (Correction	ant 30)
SPECIAL was shown, or appeared to the court hardy and market and a second to the court hardy	ions of probot to the U.	e defendant guilty and the ce is probation are: cation are: course; avon to the (S. Treasu	scharged and convergence of a per che Pederal convergence of a section	and the iod of The Solo (a) .	defendantly () Okmulge	ant 30) ion
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION OF PROBATION OF ACT, pure Oklahoma Vo-Tech for criminals, and mak \$30.00, for paymen until paid in full ADDITIONAL	ions of probote to the U. of probation imposed at the Court may char or within a maximum property of the Co	e defendant guilty and the call is probation are: 18, U.S.C. course; and to the Course; and the conditions of the condi	scharged and convergence and convergence for a per the Pederal Section of associourt Clerry Paymen 1979.	and the iod of Till Youth (5010(a).	ckmulge th known amount.	out on the on, and at
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION OF PROBATION ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION The special conditions criminals, and mak \$30.00, for paymen until paid in full In addition to the special conditions reverse side of this judgment be imposed any time during the probation period probation for a violation occurring during the court orders commitment to	ions of probote to the U. of probation imposed at seed. The Court may char or within a maximum pring the probation period.	e defendant guilty and the call is probation are: lation are: course; avenue to the co	suspended for a per the Pederal Section defendant old associourt Clery, Paymen 1979.	and the iod of Till Youth (5010(a) trateonditions of or extend the period by law, may issued a certified count commitment.	ckmulge th known amount.	out on the on, and at and revoke deliver digment S. Mar-
SENTENCE OR PROBATION OF PROBATION OF PROBATION OF PROBATION COUNTIONS OF PROBATION CONDITIONS OF PROBATION The special conditions criminals, and mak \$30.00, for paymen until paid in full In addition to the special conditions or reverse side of this judgment be important any time during the probation period probation for a violation occurring during the court orders commitment to COMMITMENT	ions of probote to the U. of probation imposed at seed. The Court may char or within a maximum pring the probation period.	e defendant guilty and the call is probation are: lation are: course; avenue to the co	suspended for a per the Pederal Section defendant old associourt Clery, Paymen 1979.	and the iod of Till Youth (5010(a) traiconditions of or extend the period by law, may issued a certified coand commitment.	Okmulge that the Clerk opport to the U. qualified office	out on the on, and at and revoke deliver digment S. Marer.
SENTENCE OR PROBATION OF PROBATION COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- Was shown, or appeared to the court had wat	ions of probote to the U. of probation imposed at seed. The Court may char or within a maximum pring the probation period.	e defendant guilty and the call is probation are: lation are: course; avenue to the co	suspended for a per the Pederal Section defendant old associourt Clery, Paymen 1979.	and the iod of Till Youth (5010(a) trateonditions of or extend the period by law, may issued a certified coand commitment of the com	Okmulge Ith know Amount Oorrect probation set of the probation of probation set of the United States of the Unite	out on the on, and at and revoke deliver agment S. Marer.

Year of the second

FEB 1 2 1979

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA

Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COUNT

United States of America	}	Criminal No.	78-CR-134 - ℝ
vs.	{		
TOMAS VEGA-MARTINEZ) }		

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to	Rule 48	(a) of the	Federal Rule	es of Criminal	
Procedure and by	leave c	of court en	dorsed hereon	n the United Sta	ites
Attorney for the	North	ern	District of	Oklahoma	
hereby dismisses	the	Indict	ment		against
		indictment	, information	n, complaint)	•
TOMAS VEGA-MA	RTINEZ	a	lefendant.		

Asst. United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

United States District Judge

Date: 2-12-79

FORM OBD-113

8-27-74

DOJ

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA					
United States of America vs. MITCHELL D. FRISTOE, Criminal No. 78-CR-90-B					
ORDER FOR DISMISSAL					
Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal					
Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon the United States					
Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma					
hereby dismisses the Indictment against (indictment, information, complaint)					
MITCHELL D. FRISTOE defendant.					
FILED					
FEB 9 1979					
Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT					
S/ Kinnital Proke. Asst. United States Attorney					
Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.					
(Signed) ALLES EL BALRON					
Date: February 9, 1979					

8-27-74

DOJ

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FEB - 6 1979 3.

Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

v.

Plaintiff,

NO. 78-CR-104-B₁/

KENNETH RUSSELL BUTTERWORTH, JR., et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

The Court has for consideration a second motion seeking pursuant to Rule 35, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, discretionary modification of the sentence of Kenneth Russell Butterworth, Jr. The Court has also received letters from the Defendant's mother and the jailor at Claremore, Oklahoma, on the Defendant's behalf. The Government has opposed the motion.

The file has been carefully reviewed and being fully advised in the premises, the Court finds that the sentence as originally imposed November 29, 1978, to 36 months, 3 months in a jail-type institution, and the remaining 33 months on probation is most lenient under the circumstances of this case. The sentence should not be reduced.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the motion for reduction of sentence of Kenneth Russell Butterworth, Jr., be and it is hereby overruled.

Dated this 6th day of February, 1979, at Tulsa, Oklahoma.

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FEB - 51979

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT

v.

Plaintiff,

NO. 78-CR-119-B

BILL M. MARTIN,

Defendant.

ORDER

On motion of the Defendant for Judgment of Acquittal at the close of all the evidence pursuant to Rule 29(a), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, the Court has carefully considered the evidence and inferences that may be drawn therefrom in the light most favorable to the prosecution. From this review, the Court finds the evidence is insufficient to sustain a conviction on Counts Six and Eleven of the Indictment. The Motion should be sustained and the Defendant acquitted on Counts Six and Eleven. The Defendant's motion should be overruled as to Counts Two and Seven of the Indictment, and the jury should proceed thereon.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the Defendant's Motion for Acquittal is sustained as to Counts Six and Eleven, and the Defendant, Bill M. Martin, be and he is hereby acquitted on Counts Six and Eleven of the indictment.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Defendant's Motion for Acquittal is overruled as to Counts Two and Seven of the indictment and the jury shall determine innocence or guilt as to those two counts.

Done in Open Court this 5th day of February, 1979, at Tulsa, Oklahoma.

THE CHARLEST COUR

FEB 1979

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

RICT COURT FOR THE OF OKLAHOMA	Add On Comp. Cons.
)	

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
v.	Plaintiff,)) NO.	78-CR-119-E
BILL M. MARTIN,	_)	
	Defendant	1	

ORDER

On motion of the Defendant for judgment of acquittal at the close of the Government's case pursuant to Rule 29(a), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, the Court has carefully considered the evidence and inferences that may be drawn therefrom in the light most favorable to the prosecution. From this review, the Court finds the evidence is insufficient to sustain a conviction on Counts One, Three, Four, Five, Eight, Nine, Ten and Twelve of the Indictment, and the Motion should be sustained and the Defendant acquitted on those counts. The Defendant's motion should be overruled at this time as to Counts Two, Six, Seven and Eleven of the Indictment, and jury trial should proceed thereon.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the Defendant, Bill M. Martin, be and he is hereby acquitted on Counts One, Three, Four, Five, Eight, Nine, Ten and Twelve of the indictment. The Defendant's motion is sustained as to those counts.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Defendant's motion for acquittal is overruled as to Counts Two, Six, Seven and Eleven of the indictment and jury trial shall proceed thereon.

Done in Open Court this 31st day of January, 1979, at Tulsa, Oklahoma.